



CASAC ELEMENTARY JUDGING RUBRIC revised 2009

Grand Champ Consideration **Yes**

Title _____

Project # _____

Total Points _____

Place _____

<p><u>Question/ Title</u> 0-no question or title 1- topic unclear in title/ question 2-well-defined question; title descriptive</p>	<p><u>Conclusion</u> 0-none 1-does not relate to the problem/ hypothesis or is not supported by data 2- 3- addresses the problem/hypothesis; not easily supported by the data 4- 5- addresses the problem/hypothesis; easily supported by the data</p>
<p><u>Hypothesis</u> 0- none shown 1- weak; shows little understanding 2- 3- adequate; shows understanding 4- 5- well-defined; shows deep understanding</p>	<p><u>Writing, spelling, grammar, organization</u> 0- 1- difficult to understand 2- 3- understandable 4- 5- very clear</p>
<p><u>Procedure</u> 0- none shown or demonstration* only 1- incomplete 2- 3- detailed; account for most variables 4- 5- 6-detailed; account variables</p>	<p><u>Presentation/Neatness/Appeal of Display</u> 0- 1- unsatisfactory neatness AND display 2- unsatisfactory neatness OR display 3- satisfactory neatness AND display 4- neat/would be more attractive if organization were better 5- very neat/ attractive/ well-organized</p>
<p><u>Testing/Trials</u> 0- none shown 1- 2- single observation or group 3- 4- multiple obs./groups of 2 trials 5- 6- multiple obs./ groups/control groups; 3 trials</p>	<p><u>Originality</u> 4- typical project, typical way 5- 6- 7- typical project, unique approach 8- 9- 10- very creative idea, original project, unique</p>
<p><u>Data</u> 0- no data 1- limited data is displayed; insufficient for valid conclusion 2- 3- recorded, displayed, hard to understand 4- 5- 6- recorded, displayed, easy to understand</p>	<p><u>Scoring will be:</u> First Place- 42-50 points Second 37-41 points Third 33-36 points HM 26-32 points Participation ribbons will be given to projects not receiving a place ribbon</p>

Judges- Place constructive suggestions for improvement on back. *demonstration(not judged)